Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/653,520	KIMMEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Luke Kilyk</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	pplicant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 52-55, 126-135.		
Prior art documents discussed: Fife (US 6,416,703) in view of Kimmel et al. (2002/0114722		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Attorney agrees to cancel claim 52 and its dependent claim view of the publication. Claims 93-945 and 155-156 are can		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation. 	n. The examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(5)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App.	iicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)